

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:431] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Friday, 15 June 2018 12:10:35 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Friday, 15 June 2018 11:31 a.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:431] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: William Akel

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: +64 9 977 5090

Email address: William.Akel@simpsongrierson.com

Postal address:
17 Dunedin Street St Marys Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I'm opposed to the New Street/London Street shaft and ventilation stacks. I'm not opposed to the

overall aim of the Project, being to improve high water quality. But to have as part of the Project the proposed shaft and ventilation stacks in the centre of one of our oldest and unique residential areas, is quite objectionable. There are other parts of the Project that appear questionable. For example, impact on the cliff and the fact that the outlet doesn't separate sewerage and storm water.

What are the reasons for your submission?

What is said by Beca with regard to Odour Assessment is equivocating to say the least. The language used at paragraph 5.4.3 of its Report of 17 April 2018 gives no comfort that the impact of odours will not be greater than what is said. While our property is to the west of the stacks, the owners of properties to the east should not have even slight concerns that the quality of their lives be adversely impacted by odours. Beca's Landscape and Visual Assessment with regard to the stacks is in no way compelling. To suggest that the air exchange poles share similarities in appearance to the light poles is incorrect. And even if there were similarities, no one would suggest that 4 poles clustered together with the present lighting poles, would not visually adversely impact upon such a limited area around the intersection of New Street/London Street, Waitemata Street. New Street is a beautiful and historically unique street in Auckland. It really is a heritage street with the Hospice, St Marys Girls College with its diverse architecture, and Pompallier Diocesan Centre. It is tree-lined. It opens up to a magnificent view of the harbour. More emphasis should be given to what Beca say at paragraph 4.4 of its Landscape and Visual Assessment "Potential adverse effects include breaking up views from the street to the harbour, adding visual clutter to the view and diminishing amenity of the streetscape and views from dwellings". I would simply note that the adverse effects are not potential but will be real. As stated to suggest that the lighting poles have the potential to absorb the height and functional character of the proposed poles is incorrect. The air exchange poles will be a major impediment to and interruption of what is unique to this heritage street and severely detract from the harbour vista that all the public enjoy from New Street. In this respect, the New Street/Dunedin Street intersection is part of everyday walking life in the inner city. It is the entry point to Jacobs Ladder. People often congregate and enjoy the views at this entry point. In other words, the intersection is not just part of the life of those who live in the vicinity, it is a part of the life of many other people in their walks, runs, exercising, or just casually seeing an historic suburb, and the harbour. Overall I note that there is little, if any, significant analysis as relates to the students at St Marys College. They of course have their hockey/tennis playing area right next door to the proposed stacks. Significantly no visual/landscape mitigation is suggested as possible for the ventilation stacks. Somewhat paradoxically it will be impossible to overcome the adverse odour as well as the adverse visual effects, and again this does not appear to be considered. The construction traffic effects do not appear to be fully considered as well.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

I consider the Project be put on hold until more pragmatic and further reports are prepared in relation to connecting St Mary's Bay and Herne Bay to the interceptor project already planned and underway. As stated this will separate sewerage and storm water and protect the beaches of St Marys Bay and Herne Bay from negative effects.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: No

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:434] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Friday, 15 June 2018 4:30:46 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Grant Roderick Campbell

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 0274418308

Email address: grant@safestorecontainers.com

Postal address:
PO Box 47522 Ponsonby Auckland 1144

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

1. How will the marine outfall discharge be diluted and dispersed and what are its effects on the marine environment and local beaches? 2. What happens if the project can not be integrated into the long term network improvement plans being developed by Watercare and Healthy Waters? 3. What are the applicants plans to separate stormwater and wastewater networks in the catchment discharging into the proposed water improvement system?

What are the reasons for your submission?

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

1. Postpone the application until a direct connection can be made to the proposed Central Interceptor network. 2. Implement a programme to separate the stormwater and wastewater networks in the catchment discharging to the proposed water improvement project.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: No

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:437] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Saturday, 16 June 2018 4:45:42 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Margaretha Cooper

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 021 141 3801

Email address: am.cooper12@gmail.com

Postal address:

6/79 Shelly Beach Road St. Mary's Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

Four air exchange points (London and New St)

What are the reasons for your submission?

Extremely unhappy that Council are planning on putting four of these eyesore poles within such a dense residential area. Your initial letter only vaguely mentions them, with no supporting photos to show everyone what is actually proposed. Not everyone has the ability or necessarily the time to go looking through detailed documents on the website. On your website there is a lot of information regarding the possibility of odours, which is completely unacceptable not to mention the risk of diseases and allergies that might result from this. The townhouses on the corner of London and New St, all have their living areas on the upper level, the very level you indicate is most likely to experience odour issues. The installation of these air vents is not only an eyesore but will affect every single townhouse on the corner of London and New Streets views. Resulting from this will be a sharp decline in the value of our properties. We have worked hard to live in this area and expect our values to increase not decrease. Your assumptions based on wind

direction etc., is all very well but if this is incorrect and/or future directions change, we could experience regular and completely unacceptable unpleasant odours. What sort of monitoring will be in place and what is considered acceptable? In my opinion NIL odour is the only acceptable outcome.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

Come up with an alternative for the vents that is incorporated within the existing landscape and that is unnoticeable. Be much more upfront with what is proposed in future correspondence including detailed photos. Have consultation meetings with all the owners of the affected properties (15 in total but about 9 directly affected). NIL odour is the only acceptable outcome. What assurances and remedies will Council offer if they get the odour predictions wrong?? If property values decline which they undoubtedly will based on the current proposal, Council will need to reimburse the affected owners.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:438] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Saturday, 16 June 2018 5:30:43 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Allan and Margaretha Cooper

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 021 141 3801

Email address: am.cooper12@gmail.com

Postal address:
6/79 Shelly Beach Road St. Mary's Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:
Storage pipeline passing directly under our property.

What are the reasons for your submission?

Noise and vibration concerns. Your letter states noise levels are expected to be similar in nature to other construction activities around Auckland. What does this mean? Do these noise levels equate to living next door to a full construction site, hard rock breaking and earth shattering noises, much more specific detail is required please. Whilst tunneling under your property, levels are predicted to be relatively low. Again what exactly does this mean, how long is a piece of string!! Equate this to

something in our day to day lives we can relate to. Not expected to have adverse impacts on your property or buildings, again this is a very broad general statement and isn't specific. How do you know any potential effects will be short term. There are a lot of very general statements in your letter, where are the specifics backed up with evidence. Concern that the cliff face will become more unstable with the proposed tunnelling. Concern over the stability of very old trees along the route of the pipeline. Concern that down track we might have issues that Council will try and get out of reinstating at their cost any damage caused. Damage may not rear its head until years later. Our property is partly built over our neighbours garage (7/79 Shelly), so this might mean that the depth of the pipeline is closer than the predicted level of 16.6m.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

Much more specific detail required re noise and vibration levels. Full written assessment of external and internal areas of our property by an independent surveyor, both pre and post. Require monitoring during construction. What action will be taken if noise levels are unsatisfactory? Letter from Council addressed to us specifically confirming they will reinstate at their cost any damage, this letter needs to cover us for the future as damage may not be evident initially. Independent report regarding the impact on the already unstable cliff face and what danger this may present to our property. A number of older trees may need to be removed as potentially their root structure will be disturbed. How will this be dealt with, as some of these trees are in neighbouring properties, what if the owners object to removal? Stability issues re garage of 7/79 Shelly Beach over which we partly are built.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: [Rod Inglis](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: Submissions relating St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Water Quality Improvement Project and request to submit at hearing.
Date: Sunday, 17 June 2018 1:06:55 p.m.
Attachments: [Draft template pro forma submission.docx](#)

Please find attached our opening submission on this project

Best regards

Rod and Julie Inglis

Rod Inglis

021 978 602
rodinglis@gmail.com

2 Waitemata St
St Mary's Bay
Auckland 1011

SUBMISSION IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSED ST MARY'S BAY AND MASEFIELD BEACH WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

To: Auckland Council *Email to premiumsubmissions@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz by 5pm on Tuesday 19 June 2018*

Name of submitter: Roderick and Julie Inglis, 2 Waitemata St, St Mary's Bay, Auckland New Zealand.

Introduction

This is a submission on an application by Auckland Council - Healthy Waters for resource consents for the St Mary's Bay and Masefield Beach Water Quality Improvement Project (the "Project"). The Project involves: the installation – via three shafts (at Point Erin Park, St Mary's Road Park, and New Street/London Street) – and operation of a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline; establishment of a weir and pump station structure and an odour control system and ventilation stacks in Point Erin Park; establishment of a smaller weir structure and odour control system in St Marys Road Park; installation of up to four 8-10m high ventilation stacks near the New Street/London Street intersection, St Mary's Bay; installation of a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay; and replacement and extension of a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour.

We own and live at the property at 2 Waitemata St, St Mary's Bay Auckland.

Submission and reasons

This submission relates to the entire Project. Like everyone we want improved water quality in the Waitemata Harbour but think this proposed solution is seriously flawed and should not proceed as proposed. Also the specific parts of the Project that my submission relates to are:

1. **The Health risks** associated with the venting pipes around the bottom of New St. These could pose serious health risks made worse by their proximity to St Mary's

School and the neighbouring houses. Here is a quote from Expedia on the risks to health of Sewer gas from sewage mains, and other sewage treatment facilities. This is based on references from many sources with good integrity:

“However, if sewer gas has a distinct “rotten egg” smell, especially in sewage mains, septic tanks, or other sewage treatment facilities, it may be due to [hydrogen sulfide](#) content, which can be detected by human olfactory senses in concentrations as low as [parts per billion](#). Exposure to low levels of this [chemical](#) can irritate the eyes, cause a cough or sore throat, [shortness of breath](#), and fluid accumulation in the [lungs](#). Prolonged low-level exposure may cause fatigue, pneumonia, loss of appetite, [headaches](#), irritability, poor memory, and dizziness. High concentrations of hydrogen sulfide (>150 ppm) can produce [olfactory fatigue](#), whereby the scent becomes undetectable. At higher concentrations (>300 ppm), hydrogen sulfide can cause loss of consciousness and death. Very high concentrations (>1000 ppm) can result in immediate collapse, occurring after a single breath.”

The application states that at times the pipe being ventilated will become anaerobic and will have high levels of hydrogen sulphide. What is worse it says this will be mitigated because the pipes are high enough. But as shown in the photos the pipes are the same height as the second floor of surrounding buildings, where people sleep, and they are also the same height as the adjacent and very close playing fields and tennis and hockey playing and work out areas of St Marys School, which are occupied by the girls attending the school for most parts of the day starting early in the morning at around 6.30 to 7.00 am and often still occupied late into the afternoon. To contaminate these areas with bad smells and to create a bad health risk for these surrounding people seems a very good reason not to proceed with these pipes as designed and not to vent these obnoxious gasses into a populated neighbourhood. Even if the project was to proceed as set out, against all the residents wishes, there must be a better way than venting hydrogen sulphide into a school. Our submission will detail why the ventilation pipes in New St must not be allowed to proceed as designed.

2. **The Odour and visual contamination** associated with the venting pipes. Our submission will also clearly argue why the Odour and visual contamination from these pipes is unacceptable and means they must not be built as planned. We will also present detailed evidence demonstrating that carbon filters do not resolve all of the health risk and odour problems and have risks of their own.

3. **The interim only and partial nature of the solution** that the project delivers at considerable cost. All the residence at the public meeting including engineers with experience in sewage management believe separation is the only way to proceed.

We will establish in association with all the other submissions from our neighbourhood and from the ratepayers association why the project should not proceed as set out and why there are better ways to achieve the objective of decontaminating the waters of the Waitemata Harbour.

4. **The considerable disruption to the parks and the neighbourhood caused by the tunnelling and construction.** We will also set out our reasons in why this is not acceptable.

While we support the stated goal of the Project (to improve water quality in the harbour), we **oppose** the Project.

Relief sought

We seek that the consent authority **decline** the applications for the Project. We believe alternatives should be more rigorously examined and will make more detailed submissions relating to this at the hearing

General

We are not trade competitors for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

We wish to be heard in support of our submission.

If others make a similar submission, we will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

We request pursuant to section 100A of the Act, that Auckland Council delegate its functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

Signed

Roderick Inglis

Julie Inglis

17/05/18

Electronic address for service of submitter: rodinglis@gmail.com; jinglis055@gmail.com;

Telephone: Rod Inglis 021978602; Julie Inglis 021378602

2 Waitemata St

St Mary's Bay

Auckland 1011

Contact person: Rod Inglis. Julie Inglis

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:439] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Sunday, 17 June 2018 4:30:30 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: D M Cowern

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 0212017200

Email address: donellacowern@gmail.com

Postal address:
60 New Street Auckland Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:
Ventilation outlets on New St. Discharge under harbour bridge.

What are the reasons for your submission?
The odour and height of ventilation pipes.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?
No sewage is discharged. No odour and not ventilation pipes.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? No

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:441] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Sunday, 17 June 2018 7:15:28 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Karl David Browne

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: +6421435918

Email address: karlbrowne27@gmail.com

Postal address:

PO Box 100 773 North Shore Mail centre Auckland Auckland 0627

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I object to the application based on the fact that the works that are going to be carried out are going to disturb my access to my house and garage at 1 London St, St Marys Bay. I am concerned that my house will be damaged as a result of slippage and land erosion caused by works nearby and tunnelling underneath. I object to the ventilation shafts that are going to be installed. I object based on an odour problem, I object on a possible slippage problem. I object on heritage disturbance aspect.

What are the reasons for your submission?

There will be odour discharge from the vent shafts. It will be an absolute eyesore and detract from the heritage of the area. There will be possible slippage of the hillside and disturbance of my property. I request a visit from the council and photographs of my house inside and out to ensure nothing is disturbed from tunnelling. I want an undertaking that the council will be fully responsible for any damage to my property.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

Cancel this project and seek an alternative . Do not install the ventilation shafts.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:443] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Sunday, 17 June 2018 7:30:31 p.m.
Attachments: [Submission St Marys Bay.pdf](#)

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Gregory David Anderson and Desley Allman

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 021774011

Email address: gdandersonnz@gmail.com

Postal address:
76 St Marys Road St Marys Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

Specifics attached in accompanying file. They relate to lack of a long-term vision, diversion of sewerage into the harbour, loss of amenity value of local parks and detrimental long and short term affects to our quality of life.

What are the reasons for your submission?

See attached. 10 year home owner within close proximity to St Marys Park that is concerned about potential impacts on property and to adjacent neighbourhood. Concerned that little onsite research has been conducted to base decisions (current usage of sites, windflow) and concern over Council's long-term ability to maintain facilities in a satisfactory manner.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

Decline the consent or apply conditions to satisfy the concerns expressed in the attached document.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

Submission St Marys Bay.pdf

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:448] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:01:17 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 18 June 2018 12:31 p.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:448] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Margo Jacqueline Hudson

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 021977664

Email address: margo.hudson@xtra.co.nz

Postal address:
18 London Street St Mary's Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:
Both the storage pipeline and the marine pipeline outfall.

What are the reasons for your submission?

It's not a final solution and this temporary stopgap system may stay in place for many years. A modern city like Auckland should not be discharging into the harbour.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

I would like to see a time limit set for this temporary measure and a permanent solution given a starting date within 5 years and the mishmash of combined and separate old sewage and storm water pipes in the area need to be replaced urgently. It is disgraceful that the council continues to spend money on less urgent matters, when sewage is going into the harbour and the smell of sewage is obvious on many streets.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:450] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:01:49 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 18 June 2018 2:16 p.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:450] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Dirk Hudig

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 093784990

Email address: dirkhudig@gmail.com

Postal address:
54 Marine Parade Auckland Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I oppose the application because: It does not provide for separation of stormwater from wastewater

but channels St Marys Bay outfalls to overflow into the Waitemata Harbour offshore from Herne Bay. It proposes to discharge pollutants in an area of high recreational value It could destabilise clifftop areas from St Marys Bay to point Erin It will result in sewage odours being emitted in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay It requires ugly infrastructure in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay It requires a reduction of an area of high recreational value in Point Erin Park It will result in serious dust, noise and vibration and traffic nuisance during construction it does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act It does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act

What are the reasons for your submission?

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

I ask the Council to refuse the application

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

SUBMISSION ON APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 (RMA)

TO:

**AUCKLAND COUNCIL
35 GRAHAM STREET
AUCKLAND 1010**

NAME OF SUBMITTER:

**HERNE BAY RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION INCORPORATED
PO BOX 46095
HERNE BAY
AUCKLAND 1147**

Application and scope of submission

This is a submission on an application by Healthy Waters, Auckland Council (Applicant) for all necessary resource consents for the installation and operation of a conveyance and storage pipeline from Point Erin Park to New Street/London Street, two Weir structures, a pump station, an odour control unit, a return pipeline and gravity pipeline, and a marine pipeline outfall (Pipeline proposal) in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay, Auckland City (LUC60319406), DIS60319407, CST60319409, and WAT60319451) (Application).

1.0 Background to the Application

The Herne Bay Residents Association incorporated (HBRAI) was formed to represent the interests and viewpoints of the Herne Bay local community. Stormwater and wastewater overflows have a serious effect on our streams, watercourses, beaches and surrounding coastal waters. Cox's Bay has permanent water contact recreation and food collection warning signs erected by Council. Home, Bay Herne Bay and Sentinel Beaches are often unusable for bathing because of pollution from these overflows as shown by the recently implemented "Safe Swim" programme by Council.

Areas of concern include the general effects of intensification of the built area and continued Council failure to maintain/renew/upgrade aging, leaking and obsolete stormwater and wastewater sewer infrastructure.

Because of the seriousness of the overflow issues HBRAI and the St Marys Bay Association founded the Stop Auckland Sewage Overflows Coalition (SASOC) which has some 20 community group members.

2.0 Background to the Herne Bay Residents Association interest in the Application

HBRAI supports projects which lead to the elimination of Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) outfalls and sewage/wastewater discharges into the environment in Auckland. HBRAI also supports projects which are part of an integrated plan to achieve very substantial CSO reductions.

The Application proposes to collect all Combined Sewer Overflows in St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach into a 1 km long 1.8 internal metre diameter pipe running from London St/New St to a pump facility at Pt Erin. The pump station will pump the wastewater/stormwater mixture into the combined sewer network when there is capacity available. No capacity will be available during rain unless it is very light. In that case the pipe will act as a holding tank until capacity again becomes free. If no capacity is available and the pipe fills then it will overflow via a 450 metre 1.4 m internal diameter pipe offshore from Masefield Beach Herne Bay. Council believes there will be 20 overflows annually on average.

This proposal is in essence a diversion scheme moving CSO's from St Marys Bay to Herne Bay.

3.0 Submission

3.1 We support the submissions of the St Marys Bay Association and SASOC.

3.2 This submission opposes the Application.

3.3 In general the reasons HBRAI opposes the Application include that the Application:

- Is inconsistent with the sustainable management of natural and physical resources and the purposes and principles of the RMA.
- Does not adequately avoid, remedy, and mitigate adverse effects on the environment; and
- Is inconsistent with sound resource management practice.
- Does not comply with the provisions of the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 (HGMPA).
- Is inconsistent with the provisions and principles of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA)
- Without derogating from the generality of the above:
- The Application does not involve use of the best practicable option
- The application is inconsistent with and contrary to the relevant objectives, policies and other provisions of the Auckland Plan and the Auckland Unitary Plan.
- The Application will result in more than minor, and significant adverse effects on the environment and does not avoid, remedy and mitigate the adverse effects of the proposal.

Remedy – ensure all requirements are met.

3.4 Consultation

Consultation for this project has been deficient. We found only selective information has been provided to us as representatives of local communities. Without derogating from the generality some missing information is as follows:

- Best Practicable Option assessment has not been provided or consulted on.
- No detail on the capacity of the holding pipe (information to be made available when design is completed; see a Council consultation document dated 13 September 2017).
- No detail on the rainfall levels required to fill the pipe holding capacity (as above 13/9/17)
- No detail on the overflow volumes produced during heavy rain (as above 13/9/17)
- No detail on the tidal effects from the overflows (as above 13/9/17)
- No detail of the effect of overflows on Herne Bay (as above 13/9/17)
- No detail of the ecological impacts of overflows from the new outfall (as above 13/9/17)
- No detail of the pollution levels of discharged pollutants and the effects of intensification thereon.

Without these details and other relevant information it is not possible for HBRAI or the community to assess the proposal's value, effects on Herne Bay, whether its objectives can be achieved, and/or whether changes/improvement(s) to the Project can be made.

Remedy – supply all relevant information and restart consultation from first principles.

3.5 Lack of integration to Regional improvements

The Application Assessment of Environmental effects (section 3.2) describes the Project as one which addresses Immediate and Short to Medium Term Objectives.

There are also the longer term objectives as defined by The Western Isthmus Water Quality Improvement Plan (WIWQIP). This plan deals with all the CSO's in the Western Isthmus including those in St Marys Bay

and Herne Bay. That project is currently assessing works required in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay. No attempt has been made to integrate the two projects to best advantage. Given the improvements required under the WIWQIP project (among others reducing overflows to an average of 2 annually) this project may be wholly or partially unnecessary.

Remedy – restructure the project to integrate into WIWQIP.

Remedy – demonstrate that the Proposal fits with Watercare Ltd plans for wastewater conveyance and its plans for the utilisation of its Mangere Wastewater Treatment Plant.

3.6 Continuing Discharge of Wastewater/sewage into the environment

The Application proposes to continue with and possibly increase CSO's into Herne Bay and environs in the Waitemata Harbour. It does nothing to remove stormwater (which causes the overflows) from the Combined Sewer System. Note there is no information provided which substantiates the past and current overflow levels and pollution concentrations and the frequency/make up of overflows from the new outfall. Nor is there any information on the impact of intensification on the pollutant concentrations and volumes expected to be discharged through the new outfall.

Remedy – consider stormwater/wastewater/sewage separation or ensure the design of the new system complies with modern standards with discharge frequencies of 0-2 annually. Provide the information.

3.7 Loss of recreational space

The Application proposes construction of a large above ground pumping station structure in Pt Erin Park a busy off leash dog walking park. HBRAI notes that Herne Bay is very poorly endowed with parks. All its open space is extensively used and is often crowded. Overuse will be exacerbated by residential intensification. HBRAI does not wish to see any loss of open space and views this as unacceptable.

Remedy - the pumping station should be built entirely underground.

The proposed discharge point is in an area of high recreational value actively used for water sport activity – ie swimming, sailing and fishing. It is an especially important place used by local clubs to train junior sailors. HBRAI views it as unacceptable that people may have their health put at risk by polluted water discharges.

Remedy – consider separation or ensure overflows comply with modern standards of 0-2 overflows annually.

3.8 Construction nuisance

Eastern Herne Bay may be affected by the construction activity. Possible effects are noise, vibration, and land settlement.

Noise and vibration if these occur will have serious effects because the Project is expected to operate on a 24 hour basis. Noise and vibration could cause lack of sleep and quiet enjoyment of one's property.

Remedy – strict noise and vibration control during daylight hours and extremely strict control levels must be set for hours between 7pm and 7am (overnight). Regular checks must be made by Council and results provided to residents immediately. In addition residents must be allowed to ask for checks directly (at Council expense) at any time overnight to have the control level limitations checked. If control levels are breached in any way then the overnight work must immediately be made to stop until the noise/vibration limitations can be met.

Settlement to land above and near the tunnel drilling is inevitable .It can cause serious damage to properties. Cliff destabilisation too would cause serious property damage. Much of the housing above and near the proposed drilling is old and some may be of historic significance. Extreme care must be taken to ensure there is no damage.

Construction will cause dust, noise, and vibration and negative effects on traffic and parking.

Remedy – set the highest possible standards for ground and cliff stability and traffic controls with immediate stop work requirements for breaches and a full remediation requirement for property damage (or potential damage) from Auckland Council.

3.9 Odour nuisance

The application notes odour nuisance may occur in Pt Erin Park and elsewhere as a result of the operation of the tunnel. To control this odour it is proposed among other infrastructure to construct ugly odour management poles. Odour nuisance in today's world is unacceptable.

Remedy – design an odour control system which does not require odour management poles. If that is not possible as a condition ensure the most modern and efficient odour equipment is installed. This condition must also include a provision for immediate upgrading if better technology to mitigate this nuisance becomes available and include a requirement for a two yearly written report to effected residents and park users outlining the availability of improved technology.

3.10 Watercare Ltd consents to move discharges

We do not accept that the proposed new discharges from the catchment are authorised under Watercare's Network Discharge Consent (NDC) (R/REG/2013/3743 (overflows to land and water), R/REG2013/3755 (overflows to the CMA)).

4.0 Other

Council ecological Executive Summary report states "the existing failed pipeline at Masefield Beach will be removed and there will no longer be any direct discharge to Masefield Beach."

Provision required – that a condition of any consent granted includes the requirement that there will no longer be any direct discharge to Masefield Beach.

Conclusion

Until our concerns are addressed we oppose the granting of the consents

Dirk Hudig (Co-chair) dirk Hudig@gmail.com. Ph 021 027 90800
Don Matheson (Co-chair) don@mit.co.nz. Ph 021 993 381

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:451] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:02:02 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 18 June 2018 2:16 p.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:451] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: JEANETTE HENRY

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 09 4863 970 021480068

Email address: henrydavern@xtra.co.nz

Postal address:
36 Saltburn Road, Milford, Auckland 0620

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:
an application for resource consent by Council to construct work and deals with the general

environmental impact on the area and on my property at 19 Ring Terrace, St. Marys Bay, Auckland.

What are the reasons for your submission?

impact of the air holes, impact on my property including any impact on the stability of the cliff on which it rests including any impact on the foundations and any ongoing vibrations from the operation of the pipeline affecting the foundations and ongoing enjoyment and valuation of the property Also any affect to the protected trees supporting the cliff and perhaps some of the building foundations.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

To come

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:452] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:02:16 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 18 June 2018 2:31 p.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:452] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Diane Beverley Hudig

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 093784990

Email address: dianehudig@gmail.com

Postal address:
54 Marine Parade Auckland Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I oppose the application because: It does not provide for the separation of stormwater from

wastewater. It will result in discharging combined stormwater/sewage/wastewater into the Waitemata Harbour offshore from Herne Bay in an area of high recreational value. It may destabilise the clifftop areas of St Marys Bay to Point Erin. It will result in sewage odours being emitted. It will require ugly infrastructure in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay to pump water and manage odours. It will result in dust, noise, vibration and serious negative traffic effects during construction. It does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act. It does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act.

What are the reasons for your submission?

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

I wish for the application to be declined.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:453] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:02:36 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 18 June 2018 2:46 p.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:453] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: AllAn V Tyler

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 09 3762717

Email address: allan.tyler@xtra.co.nz

Postal address:
21 Ring Terrace Auckland Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

1/ The long term effects of prolonged vibration for up to two weeks while tunnelling under our cliff

face and the inevitable sinkage caused by the tunnelling, when combined, are going to have on the stability of our property 2/ The choice of this option and its effects on the environment - the sheer size and bulk of the aboveground buildings -the unsightly aroma towers - and the uncertain effects of discharging untreated waste into the upper harbour

What are the reasons for your submission?

1/ Whilst we support the aims of this project we are extremely concerned that the proposed alignment of the pipe and its construction may disturb and imperil the existing stable situation of our house land and lives 2/ The nearby Pohutukawa tree (ignored by the arborists in their report on 111 other affected trees) has already half collapsed causing a significant slip of the cliff face is less than 10 metres from the pipe as it exits the cliff must, together with the cliff face, be in extreme danger from the tunnelling operations

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

1/ Change the route and alignment of the tunnelling to avoid exiting under our very steep cliff face 2/ Explore other options - for a similar cost a programme of stormwater separation is clearly a superior long term solution that would then make this project redundant 3/ Allow us the time to obtain a independent Geotechnical Engineers report before construction begins and after if required

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: [Robyn Pilkington](#) on behalf of [Central RC Submissions](#)
To: [Premiumsubmissions](#)
Subject: FW: [ID:454] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:02:53 p.m.

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
[mailto:NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:01 p.m.
To: Central RC Submissions
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:454] Submission received on notified resource consent

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Don Mathieson

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 093762376

Email address: don@mit.co.nz

Postal address:
29A Hamilton Road Herne Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:
I oppose all of it.

What are the reasons for your submission?

I oppose the application because: • It pollute areas of the Waitemata not currently polluted, i.e. there is no outfall at the new location. • It will result in discharging combined stormwater/wastewater into the Waitemata Harbour in an area of high recreational value used for swimming, fishing, paddle boarding and other water based activities • It has the potential to destabilise the clifftop areas of St Mary's Bay to Pt Erin • It will result in sewage odours being emitted in St Marys Bay • It will result in ugly poles being constructed to manage odour • It will result in dust, noise and vibration as well serious negative effects on traffic and parking during construction • It does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 • It does not provide for the separation of stormwater from wastewater, but combines this to a diversion scheme • It will destroy the park at Pt Erin where the pumping station is to be located • It does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

I ask the Council to refuse the application

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? No

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:456] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 3:45:52 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Sheryl Glasse

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 0274747755

Email address: sglasse@ithappens.co.nz

Postal address:

P O Box 47593 Ponsonby Auckland 1144

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I don't believe it is a long term solution or value for money and does not separate or remove the existing pipes.

What are the reasons for your submission?

I am concerned of damage to my property at 85A Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Bay. I meet with Jess Brookes and Caroline on 18 May and they agreed the cliff in from of my property is already unstable but tried to tell me it would be ok? When the tunnel was being built I had 2 broken windows of \$5000 each which Fletchers said I could not prove it was because of the work and I had a terrible time with insurance and \$5000 glass excess applied to my property. Don't want this to happen again!!!!r

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

A peer review of other options.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? No

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:457] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 4:00:56 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Brian Putt and Suzanne Ashmore

Organisation name: Metro Planning Ltd

Contact phone number: +6493033457

Email address: brian@metroplanning.co.nz

Postal address:
P O Box 4013 Auckland Auckland 1140

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

1. Indemnification of property owners in the event of property damage from excavations and tunneling under private property.
2. Impose a requirement for the applicant to prepare at no cost for landowners, individual property inspections and reports to be made available to the affected property owners as part of the property indemnification to be imposed as a condition of consent.
3. Lack of design input (buildings and landscape) into above ground structures to be located in public open spaces.

What are the reasons for your submission?

1. & 2. The applicant must indemnify the affected property owners from any damage arising from tunneling or excavation works under private property along the pipeline route. Such an undertaking is fundamental to the challenge to property rights of affected properties which the project creates. A commitment to indemnification must be included as a condition of consent.
3. The major structures proposed to be built in St Marys Reserve and Pt Erin Reserve are not supported by detailed architectural and landscape plans. As presented the structures are a visual

adverse effect on the purpose and character of the two public open spaces affected. 4. These two submission points show that the applicant has failed to provide for the sustainable management of the environment covered by the application and in particular has failed to support the economic and social wellbeing of all persons directly affected by the proposal. This failure is contrary to Part 2 RMA and requires remedy or the application must be declined.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

1 & 2 above - impose an indemnification provision (including individual property reporting prior to any work commencing) to protect existing landowners as a matter to be imposed as conditions of consent. 3. At least one month before any hearing on this matter, the applicant shall distribute detailed architectural and landscape design plans covering the above ground structures in St Marys Bay Reserve and Pt Erin Reserve to demonstrate how the structures will be assimilated into the immediate environs. Such plans shall include site details, elevations, materials, colour schemes, and visual simulations of the proposed structures in their locations. Such plans shall form part of the applications. 4. In the absence of such responses (as set out above) from the applicant, the applications shall be declined.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:459] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 5:00:51 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Heidi Walker

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 021775716

Email address: Heidi.Jayne.Janssen@gmail.com

Postal address:

1e Dunedin Street Auckland Auckland 1010

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I'm opposed to the proposal of installation of ventilation stacks and the shaft to be placed on New Street / London Street. I understand the need to improve our water quality however I do not believe that we have considered all options and the impact to the community, local school, visual impact nor potential odours.

What are the reasons for your submission?

You have mentioned that the project may contain odourous compounds generated by the stored effluent, during filling and while the pipeline is not being forced ventilated - this has the potential to impact the enjoyment of our outdoor areas of our homes I find this concerning that we would accept this a solution to the problem, I would also like to raise that these odours could impact on St Marys Girls College enjoyment of their outdoor area used for exercise. Visually the proposal seems to lack the understanding that a cluster of poles is not normal and will impact the views of the city from Dunedin Street and the views when driving down New Street. This is a historical area of Auckland. This area that you have proposed on the corner of Waitemata Street and New

Street is a hub for active Aucklanders, with many people choosing this route to exercise, commute via foot to work and simply enjoy the historical area, the addition of this solution will impact on this, especially considering the potential for odours. I understand the need to deal with the waste water however would be interested to know what other proposals were considered, are we able to separate the two wastes of water / sewerage as a long term solution.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

I believe that we need to put the project on hold until we have further information to consider and ensure that this is future proofing our city and the community are involved.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? No

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: No

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:460] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 5:00:51 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Jim Jackson

Organisation name: Manukau Harbour Restoration Society Inc

Contact phone number: 0274 730 226

Email address: jim@jackson.co.nz

Postal address:
PO Box 13-273 Onehunga Auckland, New Zealand 1061

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

MHRS oppose the application because it does not provide for the separation of stormwater from wastewater at source in St Marys Bay, but instead combines this into a diversion scheme that results in increased ultimate disposal through the MWTP into the Manukau Harbour. This does not promote the sustainable management of the Manukau Harbour. This also does not avoid the adverse effects of increased treated or partially treated discharges on the Manukau Harbour. In addition, MHRS does not accept that the discharges from the catchment are authorised under Watercare's Network Discharge Consent (NDC) (R/REG/2013/3743 (overflows to land and water), R/REG/2013/3755 (overflows to the CMA)). In addition, we support concerns raised by local resident groups in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay that the application • will result in discharging combined stormwater/wastewater into the Waitemata Harbour in an area of high recreational value used for swimming, fishing, paddle boarding and other water based activities • has the potential to destabilise the clifftop areas of St Mary's Bay to Pt Erin • will result in sewage odours being emitted in St Marys Bay • will result in ugly poles being constructed to manage odour affecting amenity • will result in dust, noise and vibration as well serious negative effects on traffic

and parking during construction • does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 • does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act

What are the reasons for your submission?

MHRS oppose the application because it does not provide for the separation of stormwater from wastewater at source in St Marys Bay, but instead combines this into a diversion scheme that results in increased ultimate disposal through the MWTP into the Manukau Harbour. This does not promote the sustainable management of the Manukau Harbour. This also does not avoid the adverse effects of increased treated or partially treated discharges on the Manukau Harbour. In addition, MHRS does not accept that the discharges from the catchment are authorised under Watercare's Network Discharge Consent (NDC) (R/REG/2013/3743 (overflows to land and water), R/REG/2013/3755 (overflows to the CMA)). In addition, we support concerns raised by local resident groups in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay that the application • will result in discharging combined stormwater/wastewater into the Waitemata Harbour in an area of high recreational value used for swimming, fishing, paddle boarding and other water based activities • has the potential to destabilise the clifftop areas of St Mary's Bay to Pt Erin • will result in sewage odours being emitted in St Marys Bay • will result in ugly poles being constructed to manage odour affecting amenity • will result in dust, noise and vibration as well serious negative effects on traffic and parking during construction • does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000 • does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

Refuse/decline the application

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:461] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 5:15:51 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Robin Michael Seal

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 0274 754 734

Email address: robin@wwnz.co.nz

Postal address:

4/67 Argyle Street Herne Bay Auckland 1011

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

I oppose the application because: The proposal is a simple diversion scheme moving various outfalls from St Marys Bay to the offshore Herne Bay coastal area. It does not provide for the separation of stormwater from wastewater. It will result in discharging combined stormwater/wastewater into the Waitemata Harbour offshore from Herne Bay in an area of high recreational value and used for activities such as swimming, fishing, paddle boarding, boating/yachting, and other water based activities. It has the potential to destabilise the cliff-top areas of St Marys Bay to Point Erin. It will result in sewage odours being emitted in St Marys Bay and Herne Bay. It will result in ugly poles being installed to manage odour. It will result in dust, noise, vibration, and serious negative traffic and parking congestion issues during construction. It does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act. It does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act.

What are the reasons for your submission?

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

To refuse the application

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information:

From: NotifiedResourceConsentSubmissionOnlineForm@donotreply.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz
To: [Central RC Submissions](#)
Cc: Jenny.Vince@beca.com
Subject: [ID:462] Submission received on notified resource consent
Date: Monday, 18 June 2018 5:15:52 p.m.

We have received a submission on the notified resource consent for 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project.

Details of submission

Notified resource consent application details

Property address: 94 Shelly Beach Road, St Marys Road Park and Pt Erin Park - St Marys Bay and Masefield Beach Improvement Project

Application number: BUN60319388

Applicant name: Auckland Council - Healthy Waters

Applicant email: Jenny.Vince@beca.com

Application description: To install and operate a new underground stormwater and sewage conveyance and storage pipeline, via three shafts, replace and extend a marine pipeline outfall in the Waitemata Harbour, establish a weir and pump station structure and odour control in Pt Erin Park; and smaller weir structure and odour control in St Marys Road Park and install a new rising main in the road reserve along Sarsfield Street, Herne Bay

Submitter contact details

Full name: Elizabeth WALKER

Organisation name:

Contact phone number: 098153539

Email address: elizabeth.walker@xtra.co.nz

Postal address:

24 Fergusson Ave Mt Albert Auckland 1025

Submission details

This submission: opposes the application in whole or in part

Specify the aspects of the application you are submitting on:

- It does not provide for the separation of stormwater from wastewater, but combines this to a diversion scheme
- It will result in discharging combined stormwater/wastewater into the Waitemata Harbour in an area of high recreational value used for swimming, fishing, paddle boarding and other water based activities
- It does not comply with the Hauraki Gulf Marine Park Act 2000
- It does not comply with the purpose of the Resource Management Act

What are the reasons for your submission?

I work in an alliance with a goal to have Clean water in our harbours and beaches, watercourses and aquifers. We do not see how moving an outfall under the harbour bridge so more land can be developed will achieve an improvement in water quality.

What decisions and amendments would you like the council to make?

Request Council to refuse the application.

Are you a trade competitor of the applicant? I am not a trade competitor of the applicant.

Do you want to attend a hearing and speak in support of your submission? Yes

If other people make a similar submission I will consider making a joint case with them at the hearing: Yes

Supporting information: